UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,444	03/01/2004	Mark W. Casebolt	5486-0229PUS1	9990
67321 7590 10/30/2007 BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP 8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T	
	SUITE 100 EAST FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PAPER NUMBER
	,		2629	
			MAII DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/788,444	CASEBOLT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer T. Nguyen	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTO, cause the application to become AB.	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims	,	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,18,19,21 and 29-31</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4;10-17,20 and 22-28</u> is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. ected. to.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/1/04; 3/1/04. 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application _

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 18-19, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gordon et al. (Patent No.: US 6,995,748).

Regarding claims 1 and 18, Gordon teaches a computer input device (i.e., mouse 10, fig. 2), comprising:

an electronic imager (i.e., optical motion sensor 16) positioned to create images of portions of a surface (6) moving relative to the imager (col. 3, lines 25-34);

an illumination source (i.e., light source 2) positioned to illuminate the portions of the surface imaged by the imager (col. 3, lines 25-34); and

at least one controller (i.e., light controller 166) coupled to the imager and the illumination source and configured to:

selectively activate the illumination source (2),

receive the images from the imager,

determine, based on at least some of the images, an imager velocity relative to the surface (col. 3, lines 35-60),

activate the illumination source at one of at least three activation rates when the

Art Unit: 2629

imager is moving relative to the surface and imager velocity is being determined, and select one of the at least three activation rates based at least in part upon the imager velocity (col. 4, lines 45-59, col. 5, lines 14-45, col. 7, lines 5-22).

Regarding claim 2, Gordon teaches the computer input device is a battery-powered, optically-tracking computer mouse (col. 3, lines 25-29).

Regarding claim 3, Gordon teaches the controller is further configured to: determine an imager acceleration relative to the surface, and

select the illumination source activation rate based on the imager velocity and the imager acceleration (col. 5, lines 14-45).

Regarding claim 5, Gordon teaches a memory having at least one user profile parameter (i.e., movement information) stored thereon, and wherein the controller is further configured to vary the illumination source activation rate based on the imager velocity, the imager acceleration and the at least one user profile parameter (col. 5, lines 14-45, col. 6, lines41-59).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Gordon teaches a proximity detector (inherent in optical fingerprint sensing pointing device, col. 8, lines 18-19), and wherein the controller is further configured to vary the illumination source activation rate between a reduced standby rate and one of the at least three rates based at least in part on an output of the proximity detector (col. 7, line 55 to col. 8, line 19).

Regarding claim 19, Gordon teaches comprising additional data representing sequences of instructions which, when executed by a processor (162), cause the processor to perform additional steps comprising: determining an imager acceleration relative to the surface; and

Art Unit: 2629

selecting the illumination source activation rate based on the imager velocity and the imager acceleration (col. 4, lines 45-59, col. 5, lines 14-45, col. 7, lines 5-22).

Regarding claim 30, Gordon teaches a computer input device, comprising:

a sensor (i.e., optical motion sensor 16) positioned to detect changes in a measurable parameter (col. 3, lines 25-34);

a power source (i.e., light source 2); and

a controller (i.e., light controller 166) coupled to the sensor and the power source, and configured to:

selectively activate the sensor,

receive data from the sensor,

determine, based upon the received data, a sensor velocity,

activate the sensor at one of at least three activation rates when the sensor velocity is being determined, and

select one of the at least three activation rates based at least in part upon the sensor velocity (col. 4, lines 45-59, col. 5, lines 14-45, col. 7, lines 5-22).

Regarding claim 31, Gordon teaches an illumination source (2), and wherein:

the sensor (148) is a light-sensitive imaging array, and

the received data comprises image data of a tracked surface movable with respect to the array (col. 3, lines 25-48).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/788,444

Art Unit: 2629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8, 9, 21, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon et al. (Patent No.: US 6,995,748) in view of Feldmeier et al. (Patent No.: US 7,220,956).

Regarding claims 8 and 21, Gordon teaches a computer input device, comprising: an electronic imager (i.e., optical motion sensor 16) positioned to create images of portions of a surface moving relative to the imager (col. 3, lines 25-34);

an illumination source (i.e., light source 2) positioned to illuminate the portions of the surface imaged by the imager (col. 3, lines 25-34); and

at least one controller (i.e., light controller 166) coupled to the imager and the illumination source and configured to:

selectively activate the illumination source,

receive the images from the imager,

determine, based on a first set of images received from the imager, an imager velocity relative to the surface, and

estimate an imager displacement relative to the surface based on the imager velocity (col. 4, lines 45-59, col. 5, lines 14-45, col. 7, lines 5-22).

Art Unit: 2629

Gordon differs from claims 8 and 21 in that he does not specifically teach an elapsed time since movement from a position corresponding to one or more of the images of the first set.

Feldmeier teaches an elapsed time since movement from a position corresponding to one or more of the images of the first set (col. 3, lines 10-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the elapsed time as taught by Feldmeier in the system of Gordon in order to control the sampling rate, resulting in reduce power consumption.

Regarding claim 9, Gordon teaches the computer input device is a battery-powered, optically-tracking computer mouse (col. 3, lines 25-29).

Regarding claim 29, Gordon teaches said selectively activating comprises selectively activating a light emitting diode (col. 3, lines 35-48).

- 5. Claims 4, 10-17, 20, and 22-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to pertinent applicant's disclosure: Patent No.: US 7,064,311 and 7,161,586.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Nguyen 10/22/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY JENTER 2600